Seattle, WA 206.622.3376

Videography

Court Reporting

Videoconferencing

UNITES STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



PUBLIC HEARING ON REMOVAL ACTION - NW NATURAL "GASCO" SITE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

> Thursday, May 26, 2005 7:05 p.m. Portland, Oregon

Saint Johns Community Center 8427 North Central Street Portland, Oregon



Taken Before: Jea H. Oh, Court Reporter Naegeli Reporting Corporation

COURT REPORTING

TRIAL PRESENTATION LEGAL VIDEOGRAPHY
WORLDWIDE VIDEOCONFERENCING

Naegeli Reporting

CORPORATION

National: (800) 528-3335

Online Scheduling: www.NaegeliReporting.con

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS:

111 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2020 • Portland, OR 97204

Fax: (503) 227-7123 • info@naegelireporting.com

PORTLAND, OR (503) 227-1544

SEATTLE, WA

Spokane, WA (509) 838-6000

COEUR D'ALENE, ID (208) 667-1163





A
able 12:20 13:7
absolutely 13:18
accept 6:6
accepted 6:5
accompany 5:6
accused 14:20
action 1:4 4:1 5:6
5:22 7:11
actions 11:16
activities 15:9
add 12:10,12
address 5:18 6:1
adduced 18:8,11
Adjournment
2:25
administrator 14:10
Advisory 7:14 10:11
AGENCY 1:1
AGENDA 2:11
agree 8:22
ahead 3:5 12:11
alternative 4:25
5:7 7:23 8:3,19
9:18
analysis 4:21 8:7
anchoring 8:11
answered 5:3
anybody 3:12
16:3
appalled 14:22
appear 16:10
APPEARANCES
2:1
appeared 18:5
appreciate 9:21
11:12
approach 12:24 appropriate 4:25
5:23
area 11:10 13:14
assist 4:24
Association 2:19
10:9
audience 4:15

12:6 available 5:15,17 5:20 avoiding 8:2 aware 14:3

В back 5:15,18,23 6:7 17:1,6 background 14:13 bad 12:14 **Barbara** 2:5,12 3:8 basically 14:18 **basis** 13:8 began 4:4 behalf 13:13,15 believe 7:2 **best** 8:24 9:17 12:2 bit 10:24 14:22 15:1 body 8:17 9:11 born 11:8 bought 15:7,11

break 13:4

Bridge 17:7

bubble 8:12

breaking 9:13

C calculations 8:19 call 6:22 called 4:17 calling 7:6 capacity 14:9 capping 10:17.22 10:22 12:13 caption 18:6 capture 3:20 cards 4:12 17:1 **Carl 2:8** center 1:15 2:16 3:23 7:13 10:8 Central 1:16 14:11 certainly 9:12

CERTIFICATE 18:1 certify 18:3 chair 10:9,10 character 12:3 characteristically 14:18 cheapest 8:3 **chief** 11:8 children 13:21 choices 7:25 **choose** 5:24 6:8 chooses 3:12 chosen 8:3 14:24 citizen 2:20,21,22 11:8 citizens 7:14 13:22 civil 7:3 18:4 **clean** 11:6,6 cleaned 13:18,20 cleaning 8:23 15:12 clean-up 2:24 4:25 5:7 7:11,17 8:24 14:14.15 14:23,25 clearly 3:19 6:18

close 15:18 **closing** 15:21 Columbia 14:10 15:11 come 6:16 7:10 9:21 12:18 13:10 16:17 coming 10:4 12:17,19 15:20 15:22 16:10 17:5 comment 1:5 2:14 3:7,25 4:4,7 6:12 15:22 commenters 12:5 12:11 15:25 comments 2:12 3:13.20 4:10,11 5:2,2,5,8,10,12 5:13,25 6:4,6,8

7:19 11:14 12:7 14:6 15:16 16:3 16:6,12,18,18 16:25 17:2 Commission 18:19 community 1:15 3:23 9:16 10:8 10:11 11:7 compensate 8:14 concern 8:18 concerns 5:9 7:20 7:25 8:8.10 concluded 17:8 conducted 16:16 consideration 5:11 considered 5:10 6:4 constantly 14:3 constitute 18:10 contain 13:2 15:1 containment 8:5 contaminant 12:14 contaminants 7:20 8:8 10:24 contaminating 4:21 6:15 contamination 8:16 15:4 CONTENTS 2:10 Contrary 10:5 contributor 14:20 Copies 5:14 copy 16:2 Corporation 1:25 correct 18:10 cost 4:20 14:3,4 15:6 **count** 11:8 **county** 11:10 14:11 15:11

curtain 8:4.11 12:24,24 13:4,9 curtains 12:25 13:1

· **D** Dan 2:23 16:4,5 day 18:15 deal 13:7 decades 13:17 decisionmaking 5:11 deep 12:15.25 definitely 10:16 delay 13:19 14:25 deposit 6:15 describe 4:8 designed 8:13 12:25 13:1 differently 17:4 dig 12:20 direct 9:10 directive 9:8 **director** 7:12 16:6 discussion 9:3 11:15 document 5:5 documents 5:14 downstream 13:23

 \mathbf{E} E 8:19 9:18 10:13 earlier 4:10 5:15 early 3:25 4:1 7:11 11:16 ecological 15:4 edgy 15:1 EE/CA 7:16 8:7 effectiveness 9:4 either 17:2 elected 4:14 encourage 11:16 engaged 16:13 engineering 4:20 enjoy 10:7 11:12 13:16 enjoying 13:22

Naegeli CORPORATION

800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

couple 11:21

court 1:24 3:16

courteous 7:2,3

currently 14:14

criteria 9:4.5

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544 Court Reporting

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Trial Presentation

Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

Coeur d'Alene, ID 208.667.1163 Videography Videor anterencias

	l	l, ,,,,		
ensure 3:11 15:3	floating 9:13	happen 10:19	J	major 7:25 8:18
entertain 16:12	flow 13:6	14:13	J 2:5,12	12:23
environment 9:6	folks 16:19	happening 15:9	Jan 10:12	mass 4:21
9:11	followed 4:9	harbor 7:14 10:10	Jane 2:16 7:7,12	match 11:1
environmental	foregoing 18:9,9	16:9,23	11:20	material 13:3
1:1 2:17,23 7:13	form 6:7	Harris 2:16 7:7,9	Jea 1:24 3:16 18:3	materials 14:17
14:14,15	formal 3:6	7:12	18:19	14:19
EPA 3:8,25 5:4,25	formally 15:18	hazardous 7:21	(b) 2:20 12:9	(b) 2:22 14:8
7:9 10:7 11:18	16:11	health 2:17 7:13	Johns 1:15 2:18	matter 6:25 18:9
16:2	format 16:19	9:6	10:4,9,25 17:7	McCormick 11:1
EPA's 5:1	forth 16:17 18:6	hear 11:19	John's 3:23	mean 8:24 10:20
equal 5:11	forward 16:21	hearing 1:3 2:5	join 7:8	meeting 2:25 3:7
ESAs 15:8	found 14:17,19	3:12,22 4:2,17	Judy 2:6,25 4:10	15:19 16:16
evaluation 4:20	Friday 4:3	6:13 16:21 17:8	5:17	17:3
evening 3:4,10,13	full 18:10	hearings 3:9,10	June 4:5 6:3,10	MEMBERS 2:3
3:17 4:9 5:9,15	further 15:3	held 3:22	18:15	memo 5:6
6:4 7:5	future 13:15,17	HEREOF 18:14		mention 6:24
everybody 10:3	G	hereunto 18:14	K	mentioned 9:5
11:23		historical 14:16	keep 6:20	16:22
excuse 4:1,6 13:19	Gas 15:6	hope 11:4,14	kind 11:22 15:9	message 9:9,10
executive 7:12	Gasco 1:4 3:25	hours 13:22	16:16	microphone 3:5
expect 15:12 16:8	4:22 6:15 7:12	house 14:11	kinds 13:21	6:16,17
expense 14:4	gem 10:5	huge 8:15	knew 15:8	migrating 12:16
expensive 8:2 12:1	general 10:23	human 9:6 15:5	know 4:9,15 9:14	million 8:20
Expiration 18:19	generations 13:16	1	10:25 11:4,5	millions 13:22
exposed 9:11,12	give 4:18 given 5:10	idea 12:14	12:15,16,18	mind 4:18
exposure 15:4	go 3:5 8:5 9:19		13:4,7 14:2 17:4	minutes 9:25
express 4:19	10:16,21 11:16	important 3:18 6:25 11:13,18	L	money 8:25 15:6
expressed 7:4	11:17 12:11,25	11:23 16:3		movement 9:14
F	goals 4:18	include 6:19	landfill 7:18,21	moving 6:20 9:15
Facilitator 2:5 3:4	goes 12:16 13:24	included 5:4	10:25,25	N
9:23 10:2 12:5	17:2	individually 15:23	larger 8:17	Naegeli 1:25
13:10 14:6	going 3:4,9 9:14	individuals 12:7	leave 15:13 level 11:11	name 3:7,16 5:18
15:15	9:18 12:10,18	information 4:23	Į.	6:18 12:8,9
fact 6:2 8:22	13:4,5,6,16,20	5:16,19,21	line 6:22 list 5:21 16:1	13:11,12 14:7,8
14:22	14:4 15:5,18	input 6:14	listed 10:18 14:20	named 18:5
far 10:12 16:20	16:22	interest 12:2	Lither 2:5,12 3:8	Natural 1:4 8:21
favor 10:14	good 3:4 13:14	interested 4:19,23	little 14:22 15:1	11:19 15:6
favoring 8:6	great 11:11	16:14	located 5:23	need 5:8,25 15:1,2
feedback 16:15	grew 13:13	internet 5:20	long 12:4	15:2
feel 8:6 11:25	ground 9:14	introduce 3:15	look 11:4 16:21	needs 9:17 13:18
final 5:22	Group 7:15 10:11	involved 14:14	lot 11:9 15:6	13:19
first 4:18 7:6,17		involvement	Lower 11:19	neighborhood
10:3 12:13 16:7	Н	11:15		2:19 10:9 14:12
16:11	H 1:24	involves 8:4	M	neighbors 11:17
fishing 13:14	hand 18:15	issues 12:12	mail 5:25	North 1:16 10:23
m 10.00	handled 4:10	ITEM 2.11		Nowthwest 9.21



flaws 12:23

handled 4:10

800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

mailing 5:21 16:1

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

Coeur d'Alene, ID 208.667.1163

Northwest 8:21

ITEM 2:11

11:18 15:6	particular 14:16	problem 15:10	5:21 6:14	riverbank 12:17
note 11:25	parties 4:19	Procedure 18:4	received 5:5	rivers 11:10
notice 4:2 9:3	paths 14:23,24	procedures 4:8	receptors 15:5,5	road 12:19,22
number 16:10	pay 8:21	proceeding 7:3	record 3:21 5:4	Robin 2:18 9:23
NW 1:4	pens 11:3	18:11	6:19 8:5 9:19	Robinson 2:20
	people 13:16	proceedings 3:3	10:16 13:11	(b) (6) 12:9,9
<u> </u>	15:23 17:6	3:17 4:7 18:8	14:7 18:10	role 5:1
object 9:2	performed 15:8	process 4:8 14:1	recording 3:17	Ron 10:8
obtaining 4:23	period 1:5 4:4	profound 11:2	recreation 13:22	room 5:16,18 6:7
obviously 8:1	perspectives 5:10	project 13:2	reemphasize 6:13	15:24
OEC 16:6	pile 8:6 11:25	projects 13:1	refer 10:15	rule 8:7
offer 4:16	place 18:5,7	properly 3:12	referred 5:14	Rules 18:4
office 2:23 3:9	Plance 2:18 9:24	proposed 3:25	regarding 17:3	run 3:12 12:4
officer 3:10,11	9:25 10:3,8	4:20 6:14	registration 4:12	runs 4:4
officials 4:14	please 3:19 4:11	PROTECTION	reiterate 10:14	(b) (6)
Oh 1:24 3:16 18:3	5:17,22 6:2,18	1:1	released 8:16	12:10
18:19	12:8 14:6	protective 9:6	relevant 4:23	
Once 11:25	pleased 7:18,22	provide 3:13 4:11	reminded 6:2	S
on-the-fly 8:14	plenty 13:21	4:12 5:8,19,24	reminder 5:24	Saint 1:15 2:18
Opalski 2:23 16:5	point 13:5	6:5 12:7 15:16	removal 1:4 4:1,1	3:23
16:6	points 7:4	15:17	4:21 5:22 6:14	save 12:3
open 4:7 13:25	pollution 15:4	provided 7:19	removed 9:17,17	Sean 2:7 16:22
Opening 2:12	portion 3:7	16:15	reported 18:7	17:2
operates 17:3	Portland 1:9,17	providing 5:12	reporter 1:24 3:16	Seattle 3:8
opinions 11:20	3:1,24 4:2 7:14	7:10	Reporting 1:25	second 4:22
opportunity 3:14	10:10.23 11:9	public 1:3,5 2:14	represent 6:20	sector 15:12
4:16,19 7:10	16:9	3:6,25 4:1,3,14	resistance 14:23	sediment 4:22
9:21 16:8,11,15	position 10:12	4:17 6:12,13	respectful 7:1,3	6:15
opposite 10:21	positively 13:18	7:10 8:16,24 9:7	respond 5:4	see 5:17 7:18,22
option 5:12 7:18	possible 4:24 8:24	9:10 11:14,15	response 5:5	8:18 10:6,17
8:6 10:18	9:17	15:19 16:12	responses 16:2	Seeing 15:16
options 10:20	postmarked 6:3,9	published 4:2	responsibility	selected 7:19
oral 5:12 18:8,11	precisely 14:2	purpose 3:24 6:13	3:11	selecting 4:24 5:7
orally 3:14	prefer 8:18	pursuant 18:4	restored 11:11	sent 7:21
order 5:9 6:23	preferred 7:22	p.m 1:8 17:9	reviewed 7:16	serious 13:8
Oregon 1:9,17	pregnant 13:21		re-suspending 8:8	serving 3:9
2:16 3:1,24 7:13	presentation 8:2	<u> </u>	rid 12:21	set 18:6,14
Oregonian 4:3	16:20.	quality 12:3	right 9:1 11:1	setting 16:18
organization 6:19	presentations 9:8	questions 5:2	12:17,19 13:20	sheet 5:23 6:2 8:6
ought 8:25,25	presented 8:9	quite 10:24 16:23	15:16 16:17,18	11:25
outwards 11:17	16:20	R	16:24	sheets 8:12
owe 8:23	pretty 9:20		rigid 8:4	Sheldrake 2:7
P	price 8:21	raised 11:9	rip 13:5 14:1	shouldered 11:22
	prime 9:8	really 9:2,13 10:6	risk 8:16	shown 6:1
PAGE 2:11	private 2:20,21,22	11:13 12:14,16	river 8:17 11:10	sign 5:22
pages 18:10	15:12	13:6 16:7,11	12:2,3,18,22	signed 6:23 16:1
PANEL 2:3	probably 14:2	reason 12:13	13:6,15,17,23	sign-up 5:23
part 16:24	15:8	receive 3:24 5:1	14:12	silk 8:4,10 12:24
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	

Naegeli Reporting

800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seanle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

			*	
	I	1	1	i .
12:24,25 13:4,9	10:22,22	tonight 3:7,19 5:1	15:12 16:12,13	7
sir 13:19	sure 3:19 7:1 8:21	5:12 6:8 9:5,21	we've 11:22	7 2:17
sit 7:14	10:16 15:3,22	(b) (6) 2:22 14:8,8	Willamette 11:19	7:05 1:8
site 1:4 4:22 6:15	16:1 17:5	transcript 18:9	wind 8:15	7:25 15:19
14:16,19,20,20	swimming 13:14	true 18:10	wish 4:11	7:30 17:9
14:21 15:2,7,8,9	system 8:11,17	try 5:19 8:14	wishes 15:16,17	
15:11 16:9		trying 13:2	witness 18:4,14	8
situation 13:8	T	turbidity 8:14	women 13:21	8427 1:16
slowly 3:20	table 17:2	two 4:17 6:21	word 8:3	
small 8:20	take 3:15	12:12	work 3:8	9
smaller 13:1	taken 1:24 5:22	(b) (6) 14:9	worked 16:19	9 2:19
(b) (6) 2:6,21,25	talk 15:23		working 16:13	
5:17 13:12,12	talked 14:24	<u>U</u>	worry 6:21	
15:21 17:1	tar 4:21 6:14 9:11	underneath 12:15	writing 3:14	
soft 10:15	tear 13:5	12:17	written 5:5,10,13	
solid 14:10	terms 16:14	UNITES 1:1	5:25 6:4,6,7	
sort 8:13 9:3	testimony 18:7,11	unofficial 14:9		
spared 14:5	thank 4:13 7:9,9	upstream 13:23		
speak 3:19 4:16	9:22 10:3 12:5	13:24	Yeah 10:2 17:1	
7:11 11:21	13:9,11 14:5	use 3:5	years 8:23 12:19 12:22 16:10	
speaker 4:12 7:7	15:20,22 17:5	v	12:22 10:10	
9:23	Thanks 15:14 17:5	various 7:4	\$	
speakers 6:16,21	thereof 18:12	vehicle 16:17	\$3.5 8:20	
6:22	thereof 18.12 thing 6:24 9:2,15	versus 8:4	φ5.5 0.20	
speaking 3:18	9:15 14:1 15:10	view 7:4	1	
spend 8:25 14:12 spent 11:9	things 6:20 10:5	views 4:20	12 2:20	
St 10:4,9,25 17:7	10:19	volume 13:3	13 2:21	
stalled 8:23	think 8:8,11,15,20		13th 4:3,4,5 6:3	
standpoint 11:5	8:22,25 9:7,8,9	W	6:10	
state 6:18 12:8	9:15,18,20 10:6	wake 8:15	14 2:22	
13:11 14:7	10:20 11:22,24	wall 11:25	15 9:25	
stated 4:10 9:7	12:1 13:25 14:4	walls 8:12 10:15	16 2:24	
10:12 16:7	15:1	want 7:9,17 10:3	17 2:25	
statement 6:17	thought 11:2	10:13,16 11:6		
statements 15:18	threat 9:16	12:5 15:19,23	2	
STATES 1:1	three 15:25	15:25 16:5,24	20 12:22	
stating 9:19	Thursday 1:7 3:2	wants 11:7	2005 1:7 3:2,23	
stenotype 18:7	3:22	wasn't 12:10	4:3,5 6:3,10	
Stivers 2:8	tide 8:15 13:23	waste 7:21 14:10	18:15	
stored 10:24	ties 14:16	watch 11:2	26 1:7 3:2	
Street 1:16	time 3:15 5:3 11:9	water 9:14 13:3	26th 3:23	
stuff 16:12	12:8 13:14	way 9:18 10:21,21	3	
submit 6:8,9	14:12,25 15:19	11:7	32:12	
Subtitle-C 7:18	17:6 18:5,7	weigh 11:23 Welcome 10:7		
summer 13:17	(b) (6) 2:21	welcome 10:7	6	
Superfund 16:9 support 10:13,17	13:12 tone 9:3,9	we're 9:18 10:14	6th 18:15	
	ITANAU' (U	WU IU 7.10 10.14	1	ī



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376

Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

1	UNITES STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
2	
3	PUBLIC HEARING ON
4	REMOVAL ACTION - NW NATURAL "GASCO" SITE
5	PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
6	
7	Thursday, May 26, 2005
8	7:05 p.m.
9	Portland, Oregon
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Saint Johns Community Center
16	8427 North Central Street
17	Portland, Oregon
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Taken Before: Jea H. Oh, Court Reporter
25	Naegeli Reporting Corporation



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

1	APPEARANCES	
3	PANEL MEMBERS	
4		
5	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator	
6	Judy Smith	
7	Sean Sheldrake	•
8	Carl Stivers	
9		
0	CONTENTS	
.1	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
.2	Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither	3
13		•
4	Public Comment	•
.5		
-6	Jane Harris - Oregon Center for	
7	Environmental Health	7
. 8	Robin Plance - Saint Johns	
. 9	Neighborhood Association	9
20	(b)(6) - Private Citizen	12
21	(b)(6) - Private Citizen	13
22	(b)(6) - Private Citizen	14
23	Dan Opalski - Office of Environmental	
24	Clean-Up	16
25	 Meeting Adjournment - Judy Smith	17
	*	



$800.528.3335\\ www. Naegeli Reporting. com$

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

4

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

-	PORTLAND.	OREGON:
	I PURILLAND.	

2 THURSDAY, MAY 26, 2005.

PROCEEDINGS

FACILITATOR: Well, good evening, I am going go ahead and use the microphone. I'd like to begin by welcoming you all to the more formal public comment portion of our meeting tonight. My name is Barbara Lither, and I work with EPA in the Seattle office, and I'm going to be serving as your hearings officer for this evening. And as the hearings officer, it's my responsibility to ensure that the hearing is run properly and that anybody who chooses to provide comments this evening, whether it is in writing or orally, has the opportunity to do so.

I would like to take this time to introduce our court reporter, her name is Jea Oh. And she will be recording the proceedings for this evening, so it is important for those of you who are speaking tonight, to please make sure that you speak clearly and slowly so that we can capture your comments for the record.

This hearing is being held on Thursday, May 26th, 2005, at the Saint John's Community Center, Portland, Oregon, and the purpose is to receive public comment on the EPA proposed Gasco Early



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seanle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

May 26, 2005

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Removal -- excuse me, Early Action Removal. Public notice of the hearing was published in the Portland Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005.

Before I begin -- excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak.

The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their views on the proposed engineering evaluation and cost analysis for removal of the tar mass contaminating sediment at the Gasco site. And, second, we are interested in obtaining as much relevant information as possible to assist in selecting the most appropriate clean-up alternative.



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

EPA's role tonight is to receive your comments. If you ask questions during comments, they will not be answered at this time, but they will be included in the record. EPA will respond to all comments received in the written response document that is -- that will accompany the action memo selecting a clean-up alternative.

You do not need to provide comments this evening in order to have your concerns or your perspectives considered; written comments are given equal consideration in our decisionmaking. So you have the option of providing oral comments tonight, or written comments, or both.

Copies of the documents that we referred to earlier this evening are available at the back of the room, and if there is information that you would like that is not available to you, please see Judy Smith in the back of the room with your name and address, and we will try to provide that. The information is also available on the internet. If you'd like to be on the mailing list to receive information about the final action taken on this removal, please sign up at the appropriate sign-up sheet located in the back.

Another reminder, if you choose to provide written comments, you do need to mail them to EPA at



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the address which was shown here, and it is also on the fact sheet. But please be reminded that they must be postmarked no later than June 13th, 2005, to be considered. Written comments this evening may also be accepted. If you would like to provide written comments, we will accept them. There is a form at the back of the room. Again, written comments, whether you submit them tonight or choose to submit them later, must be postmarked no later than June 13th, 2005.

So with that, what I'd like to do now is to begin taking the public comment. And I'd like to receive input on the proposed removal of the tar deposit contaminating sediment at the Gasco site. I will ask the speakers to come to the microphone, and as you begin your statement, I'll have the microphone over here, please state your name clearly for the record and include any organization that you represent. And to keep things moving -- since we only have two speakers, we don't have to worry about having a line, but we will call the speakers in the order that they signed up.

The last thing that I would like to mention is that we are here on this important matter, but we



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would like to make sure that we are respectful and
courteous, and I believe that we will have a
courteous and civil proceeding and be respectful of
the various points of view that will be expressed
this evening.

I will begin by now calling up our first speaker, and that is Jane Harris. So would you like to join us?

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. I want to thank EPA for providing this opportunity for the public to come here and speak about the early action clean-up at Gasco. I am Jane Harris. I'm the executive director of the Oregon Center for Environmental Health, and I also sit on the Portland Harbor Citizens Advisory Group.

We have reviewed the EE/CA for this clean-up, and we, first off, want to say that we were pleased to see that the Subtitle-C Landfill Option has been selected. We provided comments on that, we had some concerns that these contaminants would not be sent to a hazardous waste landfill, so we are pleased to see that that is the preferred alternative.

Having said that, I have to say that we have some major concerns about some of the choices



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

May 26, 2005

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that have been made, and, obviously, from the presentation, the least expensive, I'm avoiding the word "cheapest", alternative has been chosen, and that involves a silk curtain versus rigid containment. And we would like to go on record as favoring the sheet pile option. We don't feel in the EE/CA there's enough analysis to rule that out. I think the concerns about re-suspending contaminants are not well presented in there.

I have some concerns about the silk I think the anchoring system is something curtain. on the bubble, and all these other sheets and walls are something that are being designed as sort of on-the-fly to try to compensate for turbidity, and wake, and wind, and tide, and I think there's a huge risk to the public of contamination being released to the larger river body under this system. So we have a major concern for that, and we would prefer to see the Alternative E, which, by my calculations, is about \$3.5 million more. I think that's a small price to pay. I'm sure Northwest Natural doesn't agree with that, but I think the fact that they stalled for so many years cleaning this up, they owe the public the very best possible clean-up. I mean, I think they ought to spend the money, and they ought



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

to do it right.

The other thing that I really object to is sort of the tone in this whole discussion. I notice it was -- "effectiveness" was one of the criteria that was mentioned here tonight. The criteria is "protective of human health and the environment", and I think that should be stated when you make public presentations. I think that is the prime directive here. And I think the tone, and even the message -the direct message here is that the public and the environment are not being exposed to this tar body. They certainly are being exposed to it. breaking off and floating, and you don't even really know what's going on with ground water movement and how that thing is moving. So I think this thing is a threat to the community. That's why it's being It needs to be removed in the best possible removed. way, and I think that's Alternative E, so we're going to go on the record stating that.

And I think that's pretty much it. I appreciate the opportunity to come here tonight. Thank you.

FACILITATOR: Our next speaker is Robin Plance.

MR. PLANCE: I have 15 minutes; is that what



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you said?

2 FACILITATOR: Yeah.

MR. PLANCE: I want to first thank everybody for coming out to be with us in St. Johns. It's a gem out here. Contrary to some of the things that have been said, I think you can see how we really enjoy it here. Welcome, EPA, for having you out here at the community center. I am Ron Plance. Actually, the chair of the St. Johns Neighborhood Association, but I'm also the chair of the Portland Harbor Community Advisory Group.

Jan already stated our position as far as that we support E. Actually, I want to just reiterate that not only is it that we're not in favor of these soft walls, I like to refer to it, I definitely want to make sure that we go on record that we do not support the capping at all. I see it's still listed as an option, and sometimes when these things happen, somehow we always end up with some of these options that we think would mean the opposite of the -- that go way down -- its own way. We don't support capping. We don't support capping in general for North Portland because we already have quite a bit of contaminants stored out here. You know, the landfill, St. Johns' landfill, and



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

McCormick can match us right now. With that, what I thought was to say something profound and watch all these pens for a while.

They -- you know, I hope that we look at this also from the standpoint of, you know, when we clean this up, we want it clean, we'd like to do it in a way that the community wants. I, like the chief, I will -- count me as a citizen, also born and raised here in Portland, and spent a lot of time on that river and the other rivers in the county area. It would be great to have this restored to a level that we can all enjoy and appreciate, and that's really what's important.

I hope that we can get more public comments and more public involvement in the discussion as we go through these early actions, so I encourage all of us to go out from here and outwards to your neighbors and make it important for the EPA, and Northwest Natural, and the Lower Willamette because the hear our opinions. Don't let just me, or Jane, or a couple of us speak for everyone. Even though that's kind of what we've been shouldered with it, I think it's still important for everybody to weigh in.

Having said that, I think I'll end on that note. Once again, the sheet pile wall, we feel



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's -- even though it's more expensive, we think it's in the best interest of the river and the character of the river, and it will save the quality of it in the long run.

FACILITATOR: I want to thank our commenters and ask the audience if there are any other individuals who would like to provide comments at this time. Please. Would you state your name?

MR. (b) (6) : My name is (b) (6)

(b)(6) . And I wasn't going to add anything, but since there was so few commenters, I'll go ahead and add two issues.

First of all, the reason that capping the contaminant is a bad idea is that we don't really know what all is underneath there or how deep it goes, and so we don't really know what is migrating underneath the riverbank right now and coming up -- you know, what's going to come up into the river a few years down the road or is coming up right now, unless we get it out. So we have to be able to dig that out and get rid of it so it doesn't end up in the river 20 years down the road.

Also, one of the major flaws behind the curtain approach, the silk curtain, is that the silk curtains are not designed to go that deep. The silk



$\begin{array}{c} 800.528.3335 \\ \text{www.NaegeliReporting.com} \end{array}$

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

Videoconferencing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

curtains are designed for much smaller projects. And
in a project like this, if you're trying to contain
it, when you get that volume of water and material
behind the silk curtain, you know it's going to break
and rip it's going to tear at some point, and that
is all going to flow down the river. So you really
have to, you know, be able to deal with that
situation on a much more serious basis than what the
silk curtain would do. Thank you.

FACILITATOR: Would you like to come up?

Thank you. Would you state your name for the record? MR. (b) (6) : My name is (b) (6) , and I'm here on behalf of all of us who grew up in this area swimming, fishing, just having a good time down at the river. And on behalf of all the future generations, people that are going to enjoy that river this summer and for decades in the future, this absolutely positively needs to be cleaned up, yes, And there's no excuse for more delay. It needs to be cleaned up right. And there's going to be plenty of children, pregnant women, all kinds of citizens enjoying millions of hours of recreation in the river here, downstream, and upstream. The tide goes upstream also.





800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

1	process that when we rip into this thing, that we
2	probably don't know precisely what's there, and we
3	should constantly be aware that this may cost more
4	than we think it's going to cost, and no expense
5	should be spared. Thank you.
6	FACILITATOR: Any other comments? Please
7	state your name for the record.
8	MR. (b) (6) : My name is (b) (6) ,
9	(b)(6) . I'm here in an unofficial capacity, but
10	(b) (6)
11	I have a house here on Central, I'm in the
12	neighborhood, and I spend time on the river.
13	I happen to have a background in
14	environmental clean-up and involved currently in an
15	environmental clean-up that maybe has may
16	have some historical ties to this particular site
17	because we found some materials that are
18	characteristically basically, some of the same
19	materials that were found in this site can be
20	accused listed this site as a contributor site to
21	our site.
22	I'm a little bit appalled by the fact that
23	those paths of least resistance in this clean-up are
24	being the paths that are talked about and chosen, and



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

then the delay time in the clean-up has also made me

Seattle, WA 206.622,3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a little bit edgy. I think that you need to contain
the site, you need to do everything you need to do to
make sure and ensure that there is no further
pollution or contamination or exposure to ecological
receptors or human receptors, and if it's going to
cost Northwest Natural Gas a lot of money to do it,
so be it. You bought the site, you own it, there
were ESAs probably performed on the site, you knew
what kind of activities were happening on the site;
it's your problem. I did the same thing. We have a
site in Columbia County. We bought it, we own it,
we're cleaning it up. I expect the private sector to
do the same, and that's just where I'll leave this.
Thanks.

FACILITATOR: Is there anyone else that wishes to provide comments? All right. Seeing as how there's no one else who wishes to provide statements, then I'm going to formally close this public meeting. The time is 7:25, and I want to thank you all for coming.

MS. SMITH: And I just have one closing comment. Yes, thank you all for coming. I'm sure that if you want to talk to people individually around the room afterwards, you can. And to our three commenters that came later, I do want to make



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure that you signed up on our mailing list so that you can get a copy of the responses from the EPA to your very important comments to us. So anybody else have anything? Dan?

MR. OPALSKI: I just want to -- again, Dan Opalski, I'm the director of the OEC. The comments that you stated, this has really been our first opportunity among what we expect to be many, many around here at the Portland Harbor Superfund Site that will appear for a number of years coming up. It really has been our first opportunity to formally entertain public comments on the stuff we're working -- we're engaged in.

I'm also very interested in terms of your feedback on have we provided the opportunity in how this meeting was conducted, whether it was kind of the right vehicle for you to come forth with your comments, if the setting was right -- we got comments on that, but whether this format worked for you folks as far as how the presentation was presented. So I look forward to hearing that from you because, as Sean and I already mentioned, this is going to be all up and down the harbor for quite a while, so what we want to do is get this part of it right so that we can get your comments out on that.



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland. OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

1	MS. SMITH: Yeah, I have cards on the back
2	table and comments that goes to either Sean or I
3	regarding how the meeting operates, or if there's
4	something we can do differently, let us know for
5	sure. Thanks. And thank you all for coming. And,
6	actually, people will have time to get back across
7	the St. Jöhns Bridge.
8	(Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at
9	7:30 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	·
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	The state of the s
22	÷
23	
24	
25	



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

CERTIFICATE I, Jea Oh, do hereby certify that pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, the witness named herein appeared before me at the time and place set forth in the caption herein; that at the said time and place, I reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a full, true and correct record of such testimony adduced and oral proceeding had and of the whole thereof. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 6th day of June , 2005. June 01, 2008 Oh Commission Expiration

CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT

Coeur d'Alene, ID 208.667.1163

Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376

Videography

Videoconferencing

UNITES STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



PUBLIC HEARING ON
REMOVAL ACTION - NW NATURAL "GASCO" SITE
PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Thursday, May 26, 2005 7:05 p.m. Portland, Oregon

Saint Johns Community Center 8427 North Central Street Portland, Oregon

Taken Before: Jea H. Oh, Court Reporter Naegeli Reporting Corporation

ŀ	Page 1		Page 3
1	UNITES STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	1	PORTLAND, OREGON;
2	0,11125 0111125 21111112111121111211112111	2	THURSDAY, MAY 26, 2005.
3	PUBLIC HEARING ON	3	PROCEEDINGS
4	REMOVAL ACTION - NW NATURAL "GASCO" SITE	4	FACILITATOR: Well, good evening, I am going
5	PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	5	go ahead and use the microphone. I'd like to begin
6		6	by welcoming you all to the more formal public
7	Thursday, May 26, 2005	7	comment portion of our meeting tonight. My name is
8	7:05 p.m.	8	Barbara Lither, and I work with EPA in the Seattle
9	Portland, Oregon	9	office, and I'm going to be serving as your hearings
10	,	10	officer for this evening. And as the hearings
11		11	officer, it's my responsibility to ensure that the
12		12	hearing is run properly and that anybody who chooses
13		13	to provide comments this evening, whether it is in
14		14	writing or orally, has the opportunity to do sq.
15	Saint Johns Community Center	15	I would like to take this time to introduce
16	8427 North Central Street	16	our court reporter, her name is Jea Oh. And she will
17	Portland, Oregon	17	be recording the proceedings for this evening, so it
18		18	is important for those of you who are speaking
19		19	tonight, to please make sure that you speak clearly
20		20	and slowly so that we can capture your comments for
21		21	the record.
22		22	This hearing is being held on Thursday, May
23		23	26th, 2005, at the Saint John's Community Center,
24	Taken Before: Jea H. Oh, Court Reporter	24	Portland, Oregon, and the purpose is to receive
25	Naegeli Reporting Corporation	25	public comment on the EPA proposed Gasco Early
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	Removal excuse me, Early Action Removal. Public
2	,		
		2	notice of the hearing was published in the Portland
1 3	PANEL MEMBERS	2	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public
3 4	PANEL MEMBERS		
1	PANEL MEMBERS Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator	3	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public
4		3 4	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we
4 5 6 7	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake	3 4 5 6 7	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to
4 5 6 7 8	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith	3 4 5 6 7 8	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be
4 5 6 7 8 9	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM PAGE	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither 3	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM PAGE	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Public Comment	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Public Comment Jane Harris - Oregon Center for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health 7	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Public Comment Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health Robin Plance - Saint Johns	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health Robin Plance - Saint Johns Neighborhood Association 9	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Public Comment Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health Robin Plance - Saint Johns Neighborhood Association (b) (6) - Private Citizen PAGE 7 PAGE 9 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their views on the proposed engineering evaluation and cost
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health Robin Plance - Saint Johns Neighborhood Association (b) (6) - Private Citizen (b) (6) Private Citizen 13	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their views on the proposed engineering evaluation and cost analysis for removal of the tar mass contaminating
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health Robin Plance - Saint Johns Neighborhood Association (b) (6) - Private Citizen (c) 14	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their views on the proposed engineering evaluation and cost analysis for removal of the tar mass contaminating sediment at the Gasco site. And, second, we are
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM PAGE Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither 3 Public Comment Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health 7 Robin Plance - Saint Johns Neighborhood Association 9 (b) (6) - Private Citizen 12 (b) (6) Private Citizen 13 (b) (6) - Private Citizen 14 Dan Opalski - Office of Environmental	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their views on the proposed engineering evaluation and cost analysis for removal of the tar mass contaminating
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Barbara J. Lither - Hearing Facilitator Judy Smith Sean Sheldrake Carl Stivers CONTENTS AGENDA ITEM PAGE Opening Comments - Barbara J. Lither 3 Public Comment Jane Harris - Oregon Center for Environmental Health 7 Robin Plance - Saint Johns Neighborhood Association 9 (b) (6) - Private Citizen 12 (b) (6) Private Citizen 13 (b) (6) - Private Citizen 14 Dan Opalski - Office of Environmental	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Oregonian on Friday, May 13th, 2005, and the public comment period began on May 13th and runs through June 13th, 2005. Before I begin excuse me. Before we open the proceedings for comment, I would like to describe the process and the procedures to be followed this evening so that you know how your comments will be handled. As Judy stated earlier, if you wish to provide comments, we ask that you please provide us with the speaker registration cards, and thank you to those of you who have done that already. If there are elected public officials in the audience, we would like to know as well so that we can offer you the opportunity to speak. The public hearing has been called with two goals in mind. First, we would like to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their views on the proposed engineering evaluation and cost analysis for removal of the tar mass contaminating sediment at the Gasco site. And, second, we are interested in obtaining as much relevant information

1 (Pages 1 to 4)



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

Q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

alternative.

EPA's role tonight is to receive your comments. If you ask questions during comments, they will not be answered at this time, but they will be included in the record. EPA will respond to all comments received in the written response document that is -- that will accompany the action memo selecting a clean-up alternative.

You do not need to provide comments this evening in order to have your concerns or your perspectives considered; written comments are given equal consideration in our decisionmaking. So you have the option of providing oral comments tonight, or written comments, or both.

Copies of the documents that we referred to earlier this evening are available at the back of the room, and if there is information that you would like that is not available to you, please see Judy Smith in the back of the room with your name and address, and we will try to provide that. The information is also available on the internet. If you'd like to be on the mailing list to receive information about the final action taken on this removal, please sign up at the appropriate sign-up sheet located in the back.

Another reminder, if you choose to provide written comments, you do need to mail them to EPA at Page 7

would like to make sure that we are respectful and

2 courteous, and I believe that we will have a

3 courteous and civil proceeding and be respectful of

4 the various points of view that will be expressed 5 this evening.

I will begin by now calling up our first speaker, and that is Jane Harris. So would you like to join us?

MS. HARRIS: Thank you. I want to thank EPA for providing this opportunity for the public to come here and speak about the early action clean-up at Gasco. I am Jane Harris. I'm the executive director of the Oregon Center for Environmental Health, and I also sit on the Portland Harbor Citizens Advisory Group.

We have reviewed the EE/CA for this clean-up, and we, first off, want to say that we were pleased to see that the Subtitle-C Landfill Option has been selected. We provided comments on that, we had some concerns that these contaminants would not be sent to a hazardous waste landfill, so we are pleased to see that that is the preferred

Having said that, I have to say that we have some major concerns about some of the choices

Page 6

the address which was shown here, and it is also on the fact sheet. But please be reminded that they must be postmarked no later than June 13th, 2005, to be considered. Written comments this evening may also be accepted. If you would like to provide written comments, we will accept them. There is a form at the back of the room. Again, written comments, whether you submit them tonight or choose to submit them later, must be postmarked no later than June 13th, 2005.

So with that, what I'd like to do now is to begin taking the public comment. And I'd like to reemphasize that the purpose of the public hearing is to receive input on the proposed removal of the tar deposit contaminating sediment at the Gasco site. I will ask the speakers to come to the microphone, and as you begin your statement; I'll have the microphone over here, please state your name clearly for the record and include any organization that you represent. And to keep things moving -- since we only have two speakers, we don't have to worry about having a line, but we will call the speakers in the order that they signed up.

The last thing that I would like to mention is that we are here on this important matter, but we Page 8

1 that have been made, and, obviously, from the

2 presentation, the least expensive, I'm avoiding the

3 word "cheapest", alternative has been chosen, and

4 that involves a silk curtain versus rigid

5 containment. And we would like to go on record as

6 favoring the sheet pile option. We don't feel in the

7 EE/CA there's enough analysis to rule that out. I

8 think the concerns about re-suspending contaminants 9

are not well presented in there.

I have some concerns about the silk curtain. I think the anchoring system is something on the bubble, and all these other sheets and walls are something that are being designed as sort of on-the-fly to try to compensate for turbidity, and wake, and wind, and tide, and I think there's a huge risk to the public of contamination being released to the larger river body under this system. So we have a major concern for that, and we would prefer to see the Alternative E, which, by my calculations, is about \$3.5 million more. I think that's a small price to pay. I'm sure Northwest Natural doesn't agree with that, but I think the fact that they stalled for so many years cleaning this up, they owe the public the very best possible clean-up. I mean, I think they ought to spend the money, and they ought

2 (Pages 5 to 8)



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 9

to do it right.

2 The other thing that I really object to is 3 sort of the tone in this whole discussion. I notice 4 it was -- "effectiveness" was one of the criteria that was mentioned here tonight. The criteria is "protective of human health and the environment", and I think that should be stated when you make public 8 presentations. I think that is the prime directive 9 here. And I think the tone, and even the message --10 the direct message here is that the public and the 11 environment are not being exposed to this tar body. 12 They certainly are being exposed to it. It's 13 breaking off and floating, and you don't even really 14 know what's going on with ground water movement and

15 how that thing is moving. So I think this thing is a 16 threat to the community. That's why it's being 17 removed. It needs to be removed in the best possible way, and I think that's Alternative E, so we're going 18 to go on the record stating that.

20 And I think that's pretty much it. I appreciate the opportunity to come here tonight. 22 Thank you.

23 FACILITATOR: Our next speaker is Robin 24 Plance.

MR. PLANCE: I have 15 minutes; is that what

McCormick can match us right now. With that, what I thought was to say something profound and watch all these pens for a while.

They -- you know, I hope that we look at this also from the standpoint of, you know, when we clean this up, we want it clean, we'd like to do it in a way that the community wants. I, like the chief. I will -- count me as a citizen, also born and raised here in Portland, and spent a lot of time on that river and the other rivers in the county area. It would be great to have this restored to a level that we can all enjoy and appreciate, and that's really what's important.

I hope that we can get more public comments and more public involvement in the discussion as we go through these early actions, so I encourage all of us to go out from here and outwards to your neighbors and make it important for the EPA, and Northwest Natural, and the Lower Willamette because the hear our opinions. Don't let just me, or Jane, or a couple of us speak for everyone. Even though that's kind of what we've been shouldered with it, I think it's still important for everybody to weigh in.

Having said that, I think I'll end on that note. Once again, the sheet pile wall, we feel

Page 10

you said? 2

19

21

25

3

4

6

8

9

24

FACILITATOR: Yeah.

MR. PLANCE: I want to first thank everybody for coming out to be with us in St. Johns. It's a gem out here. Contrary to some of the things that have been said, I think you can see how we really enjoy it here. Welcome, EPA, for having you out here at the community center. I am Ron Plance. Actually, the chair of the St. Johns Neighborhood Association. but I'm also the chair of the Portland Harbor Community Advisory Group.

10 11 12 Jan already stated our position as far as 13 that we support E. Actually, I want to just 14 reiterate that not only is it that we're not in favor 15 of these soft walls, I like to refer to it, I 16 definitely want to make sure that we go on record 17 that we do not support the capping at all. I see 18 it's still listed as an option, and sometimes when 19 these things happen, somehow we always end up with 20 some of these options that we think would mean the 21 opposite of the -- that go way down -- its own way. 22 We don't support capping. We don't support capping 23 in general for North Portland because we already have

quite a bit of contaminants stored out here. You

know, the landfill, St. Johns' landfill, and

Page-12

Page 11

it's -- even though it's more expensive, we think 2 it's in the best interest of the river and the 3 character of the river, and it will save the quality 4 of it in the long run. 5

FACILITATOR: I want to thank our commenters and ask the audience if there are any other individuals who would like to provide comments at this time. Please. Would you state your name?

My name is (b) (6) MR. (b) (6) And I wasn't going to add anything, but since there was so few commenters, 111 go ahead and add two issues.

First of all, the reason that capping the contaminant is a bad idea is that we don't really know what all is underneath there or how deep it goes, and so we don't really know what is migrating underneath the riverbank right now and coming up -you know, what's going to come up into the river a few years down the road or is coming up right now, unless we get it out. So we have to be able to dig that out and get rid of it so it doesn't end up in the river 20 years down the road.

Also, one of the major flaws behind the curtain approach, the silk curtain, is that the silk curtains are not designed to go that deep. The silk

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Naegeli Porting ORPORATION

800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

Page 13

1 curtains are designed for much smaller projects. And
2 in a project like this, if you're trying to contain
3 it, when you get that volume of water and material
4 behind the silk curtain, you know it's going to break
5 and rip -- it's going to tear at some point, and that
6 is all going to flow down the river. So you really
7 have to, you know, be able to deal with that
8 situation on a much more serious basis than what the
9 silk curtain would do. Thank you.

FACILITATOR: Would you like to come up? Thank you. Would you state your name for the record? MR. (b) (6): My name is (b) (6) I'm here on behalf of all of us who grew up in this area swimming, fishing, just having a good time down at the river. And on behalf of all the future generations, people that are going to enjoy that river this summer and for decades in the future, this 18 absolutely positively needs to be cleaned up, yes, sir. And there's no excuse for more delay. It needs to be cleaned up right. And there's going to be plenty of children, pregnant women, all kinds of

goes upstream also.

And I think we should be open to the

citizens enjoying millions of hours of recreation in

the river here, downstream, and upstream. The tide

Page 15

a little bit edgy. I think that you need to contain the site, you need to do everything you need to do to make sure and ensure that there is no further pollution or contamination or exposure to ecological receptors or human receptors, and if it's going to cost Northwest Natural Gas a lot of money to do it, so be it. You bought the site, you own it, there were ESAs probably performed on the site, you knew what kind of activities were happening on the site; it's your problem. I did the same thing. We have a site in Columbia County. We bought it, we own it, we're cleaning it up. I expect the private sector to do the same, and that's just where I'll leave this. Thanks.

FACILITATOR: Is there anyone else that wishes to provide comments? All right. Seeing as how there's no one else who wishes to provide statements, then I'm going to formally close this public meeting. The time is 7:25, and I want to thank you all for coming.

MS. SMITH: And I just have one closing comment. Yes, thank you all for coming. I'm sure that if you want to talk to people individually around the room afterwards, you can. And to our three commenters that came later, I do want to make

Page 14

process that when we rip into this thing, that we probably don't know precisely what's there, and we should constantly be aware that this may cost more than we think it's going to cost, and no expense should be spared. Thank you.

FACILITATOR: Any other comments? Please state your name for the record.

MR. (b) (6) My name is (b) (6) (b) (6) I'm here in an unofficial capacity, but (b) (6)

. I have a house here on Central, I'm in the neighborhood, and I spend time on the river.

I happen to have a background in environmental clean-up and involved currently in an environmental clean-up that maybe has -- may have some historical ties to this particular site because we found some materials that are characteristically -- basically, some of the same materials that were found in this site can be accused -- listed this site as a contributor site to our site.

I'm a little bit appalled by the fact that those paths of least resistance in this clean-up are being the paths that are talked about and chosen, and then the delay time in the clean-up has also made me Page 16

sure that you signed up on our mailing list so that
 you can get a copy of the responses from the EPA to
 your very important comments to us. So anybody else
 have anything? Dan?
 MR. OPALSKI: 1 just want to -- again, Dan

MR. OPALSKI: I just want to -- again, Dan Opalski, I'm the director of the OEC. The comments that you stated, this has really been our first opportunity among what we expect to be many, many around here at the Portland Harbor Superfund Site that will appear for a number of years coming up. It really has been our first opportunity to formally entertain public comments on the stuff we're working -- we're engaged in.

I'm also very interested in terms of your feedback on have we provided the opportunity in how this meeting was conducted, whether it was kind of the right vehicle for you to come forth with your comments, if the setting was right -- we got comments on that, but whether this format worked for you folks as far as how the presentation was presented. So I look forward to hearing that from you because, as Sean and I already mentioned, this is going to be all up and down the harbor for quite a while, so what we want to do is get this part of it right so that we can get your comments out on that.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503.227.1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376

Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

	Page 17	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MS. SMITH: Yeah, I have cards on the back table and comments that goes to either Sean or I regarding how the meeting operates, or if there's something we can do differently, let us know for sure. Thanks. And thank you all for coming. And, actually, people will have time to get back across the St. Johns Bridge. (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 7:30 p.m.)	
	Page 18	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CERTIFICATE I, Jea Oh, do hereby certify that pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, the witness named herein appeared before me at the time and place set forth in the caption herein; that at the said time and place, I reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the foregoing matter; and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a full, true and correct record of such testimony adduced and oral proceeding had and of the whole thereof. IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 6th day of June, 2005. Jea Oh Commission Expiration	

5 (Pages 17 to 18)



800.528.3335 www.NaegeliReporting.com

503.227.7123 FAX

Portland, OR 503,227,1544

Seattle, WA 206.622.3376 Spokane, WA 509.838.6000

Instructions


Audio/Transcript Synchronization



- 1. Put CD into CD-ROM.
- 2. Once you get the auto start screen, Click on Launch Advance Sync Video Viewer. If you don't have the program on your computer, it will prompt you to install the program.
- 3. If your system does not have Media Player 9.0, you will be prompted to install it.
- 4. Once installed, go back to Launch Advanced Sync. Video Viewer.
- 1. Go to File, then Open.
- 2. Find your audio file on your CD-ROM drive and open project folder. Click on .asv file & open.
- 3. Choose to play, pause, stop, previous page, next page, clip selection and save image.
- 4. To make an excerpt: Highlight text you want to clip (ie. Page 2 line 1 to page 2 line 10), while text in highlighted, click the "clip selection" button. You will receive a box where to save the file. You can save it anywhere on your computer.

FREE Technical Support

800.528.3335



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 10**

1200 SIXTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101

TARGET SHEET

The	This is due to the Original being:	
	Oversized	
	X CD Rom	
	Computer Disk	
	Video Tape	
	Other:	
**A copy of the document	may be requested from the Superfund Records Ce	nter
	Document Information	
Document ID #:	PART OF 1436744	
File #:		
Site Name:		
DISC 1	: AUDIO SYNCHRONIZED TRANSCRIPT.	

DISC 2: E-TRANSCRIPT / VOICE FILES.







Are you **still** using a **court reporting firm** stuck in the **past**?

Embrace the digital age with Naegeli Reporting, the firm with the most innovative litigation technology in the country. We offer cutting-edge court reporting, trial presentation, videography and videoconferencing services. Naegeli: Working hard to simplify your life and make your case successful.

The Technology Transcript TM

- Hyperlinked Transcripts in Any Format
- Word-Searchable Exhibits
- Audio/Transcript Synchronization
- Video/Transcript Synchronization
- Digitized Video on CD or DVD
- Personal Audio CD
- E-transcript on CD





Court Reporting

Trial Presentation

Legal Videography

Videoconferencing

Serving all of Oregon, Washington, Idaho and the Nation • 24 hours a day – Everyday • www.NaegeliReporting.com

National (800) 528-3335

Portland, OR (503) 227-1544

Seattle, WA (206) 622-3376

Spokane, WA (509) 838-6000 Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 667-1163